

No. 9/5/84-Lab/6454.—In pursuance of the provisions of section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Central Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workman and the management of M/s Universal Chemical Manufacturing Co., Bahadurgarh :—

BEFORE SHRI B. P. JINDAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, ROHTAK

Reference No. 194 of 84

between

SHRI MUKHTIAR SINGH, WORKMAN AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S UNIVERSAL
CHEMICAL MANUFACTURING CO., BAHADURGARH

Present :—

None, for the parties.

AWARD

1. In exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (1) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947, the Governor of Haryana, referred the following dispute, between the workman Shri Mukhtiar Singh and the management of M/s. Universal Chemical Manufacturing Co., Bahadurgarh, to this Court for adjudication,—vide Labour Department Gazette Notification No. 36047-52, dated 29th September, 1984 :—

Whether the termination of services of Shri Mukhtiar Singh is justified and in order? If not, to what relief is he entitled?

2. After receipt of this order of reference notices were issued to the parties. The workman ~~appeared, but the respondent did not and as such ex parte proceedings~~ order was passed against the respondent on 25th February, 1985.

3. Otherwise the claim of the workman is that he joined with the respondent on 1st January, 1983, on monthly wages of Rs. 365, but the respondent choose to terminate his services with effect from 11th June, 1984, without any prior notice or payment of any retrenchment compensation and in the process flouted the provisions of section 25F and C of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

4. As already observed that the respondent did not appear inspite of service and as such *ex parte* proceedings order was passed against the respondent on 25th February, 1985 and case was fixed for *ex parte* evidence of the workman, who absented and as such, this reference was dismissed for non-prosecution and answered accordingly. There is no order as to cost.

B. P. JINDAL,

Dated the 13th June, 1985.

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Rohtak.

Endst. No. 279-83/1101, dated 27th July, 1985.

Forwarded (four copies), to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour and Employment Departments, Chandigarh, as required under section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

B. P. JINDAL,

Presiding Officer,

Labour Court, Rohtak.